

Dear Friend,

If you know someone that needs to be added to this policy briefing, please let us know by sending an e-mail here: info@puregrassroots.org
[Please also follow me on X \(Formerly twitter\) here:](#) R. Morris Owens (@MoeOwensJD) / X (twitter.com) or on Facebook here: [Facebook](#)

The Immigration Invasion is Engineered

The globalists have a new word for you:

“newcomers”

As in, we should welcome the “newcomers” and pretend there are no problems.

As in, Michigan population would be in free fall if not for the “newcomers”.

As in, Whitmer wants to find new ways to spend your tax dollars...

Gretchen Whitmer offers Michigan residents \$500 a month to house migrants

Effort last month was seeking unpaid volunteers to accommodate 'newcomers'

BY KYLE OLSON
MARCH 25, 2024



Is This a Babylon Bee Gag?

Uncontrolled mass migration is a tool in the effort to merge the United States into ever increasing regional blocks with a goal of a “North American Union” that operates just like the European Union. This plan is supported by the establishment-oriented leadership of both parties, along with the globalist elite and cultural Marxists. **As with past civilizations, massive immigration is being used to fundamentally alter the nature of our constitutional Republic.**

The globalist elites understand that the vast majority of individuals from other countries and cultures have no knowledge or understanding of the U.S. Constitution and the philosophical principles held by the founding fathers. By importing these individuals *en masse*, which prevents their assimilation into American philosophy and culture, the elites are able to reshape our nation to their liking.

We must understand that this issue is not a failure of good people trying their best with a difficult issue. What we have is a specific, engineered take-down operation and there must be no mistake about that.

To be clear, we do not oppose immigration per se. What we oppose is massive uncontrolled migration, both legal and illegal. Policies like higher legal immigration levels and new guest worker laws are as damaging to American sovereignty and exceptionalism as amnesty for illegals and failure to restore border security.

But what do we get from Whitmer and the tone-deaf democrats? Slogans like: “No borders, no walls, sanctuary for all!” “No ban. No wall. No borders at all.” Those are slogans of the Democratic Socialists of America (DSA). The Democratic Socialists of America, which for decades had been merely a festering carbuncle on the extreme left of the Democratic Party, now has spread its infection throughout the entire party structure, funded of course by international globalists who seek the destruction of American Sovereignty.

Following the election of Donald Trump in 2016, and aided by groups like the **Council on Foreign Relations**, the DSA has enjoyed spectacular growth, recruiting legions of outraged Berniecrats from the failed Bernie Sanders campaign, as well as hordes of “Resistance” militants from the Hillary camp. The DSA, reportedly, has mushroomed from 7,000 members and 15 local chapters in 2000 to 44,000 members and hundreds of chapters by 2018. It has seized the open borders/no borders cause, which until very recently was only seriously proposed by such elements of the extreme Left as the Communist Party USA, the Revolutionary Communist Party, and La Raza (The Race), the ultra-radical Mexican irredentist group that seeks to reclaim the American southwest as “Aztlán” or “Mexifornia.”

For the immigration issue to be an electoral game-winner, Whitmer and her fellow globalists must convince the majority of Americans that they are correct. And to convince the majority they are correct, they must overcome the obvious implications, as was noted by commentator Glen C. Simmons: If

you defeat border security, then you defeat national security. If you defeat national security, you defeat peace, justice, and security — and the American dreams of equal treatment and freedom can no longer exist.

To that we add that “no borders” equals “no nation,” which equals “world government” — and centralized governments have never, ever, offered the opportunities to the common man for wealth and personal freedom that America has offered.

The foreign-born replacement voters that Whitmer and her Democrat comrades have been creating are coming from two sources: legal immigration and illegal migration. Over the past 50 years, the Democrats have dramatically boosted the replacement strategy from both sources — first, by legislatively increasing the immigration quotas from undeveloped countries, beginning with the 1965 Hart-Celler Immigration Act, and second, by thwarting every effort to control our borders, while at the same time providing the huge, irresistible magnet of jobs and welfare benefits — plus the added benefit of amnesty after amnesty rewarding successive waves of illegal border jumpers with legalization.

It is important to pause here to emphasize an important distinction between legal immigration and illegal migration, as even many critics of our out-of-control borders often fall into the rhetorical trap that the liberal-left advocates have laid on this issue. Playing on the “we are a nation of immigrants” theme, the organized Left and its Deep State media allies consciously, assiduously conflate the migrant-immigrant terms. That’s politically expedient for them: Anyone who calls for reducing the legal immigrant flood or boosting border security to stanch the illegal migrant tsunami can be conveniently disposed of as “anti-immigrant” and a racist, xenophobic bigot.

Here’s the thing: An “immigrant” is someone who has gone through the legal immigration process (waiting in line, filling out paperwork, vetting, inspections, attestations, paying fees, etc.). An illegal “migrant” (or “illegal alien,” to use a now-forbidden term) on the other hand is someone who has disregarded and violated our immigration laws by entering the country illegally, or by entering the country legally (on student, tourist, business, or temporary worker visas) and then staying here illegally. It is an insult and a disservice to bona fide legal immigrants **to conflate them (and the millions who are waiting in line) with illegal migrants who are thumbing their noses at the immigration process.**

But when you have out of control illegal immigration, we are going to get some major problems:

Illegal immigrant allegedly kills Michigan woman in carjacking gone wrong

By Yaron Steinbuch

Published March 26, 2024, 8:59 a.m. ET



Ruby Garcia, 25, of Grand Rapids, Michigan, was found dead on the side of a highway. Brandon Ortiz-Vite, an illegal immigrant from Mexico, faces murder and other charges, according to a report.

How can you tell politicians are lying about immigration? Their lips are moving! Here is a short history of the lies told to cover up the engineered invasion we now face:

At the signing of the Hart-Celler Immigration Bill into law on October 3, 1965, President Lyndon Johnson solemnly declared: “This bill we sign today is not a revolutionary bill. It does not affect the lives of millions. It will not restructure the shape of our daily lives.”

Senator Edward “Ted” Kennedy (D-Mass.), who had shepherded the legislation through Congress (it is often referred to as the Kennedy bill), reassured America: “First, our cities will not be flooded with a million immigrants annually. Under the proposed bill, the present level of immigration remains substantially the same.... Secondly, the ethnic mix of this country will not be upset.... Contrary to the charges in some quarters, [the bill] will not inundate America with immigrants from any one country or area, or the most populated and deprived nations of Africa and Asia.... It will not relax the standards of admission. It will not cause American workers to lose their jobs.”

“The effect of the bill on our population [in numbers] would be quite insignificant,” Representative Emanuel Celler (D-N.Y.), the act’s cosponsor, promised.

What have been the actual results? In 1995, on the 30th anniversary of the Hart-Celler-Kennedy fiasco, the late Otis L. Graham, professor emeritus of history at the University of California at Santa Barbara, noted that “the assurances of the law’s sponsors were untrue. The number of legal immigrants immediately jumped to 400,000, then to 800,000 by 1980, and reached well over 1 million in the early 1990s, when those given amnesty in 1986 and their relatives are added to the total. Illegal immigrants add 300,000 or more annually, many coming to join legally admitted relatives. Total immigration last year was 1.2 million.” And, of course, it has gotten worse in the 20 years since Professor Graham wrote those words. In addition to the continuing escalation of legal immigration and illegal migration from the usual post-1965 sources, we have seen, over the last few years, a huge spike in asylum applications from all over the world, particularly from Muslim countries.

Many conservative critics of Ted Kennedy, LBJ, and Hart-Celler err by referring to the de facto transformation-by-immigration we are experiencing as the “unintended consequences of liberalism,” implying stupidity on the part of the mass immigration advocates. No, the proponents of leftist “immigration reform” programs — from Hart-Celler to DREAMER, DACA, DAPA, and beyond — knew full well that their plans would completely change and transform America. The 1965 Immigration Act coincided with another effort by the same “progressive” forces to vastly expand welfare entitlements, first to existing citizens and then to new immigrants and illegal aliens. The end result would be a massive remake of our country, as more and more people became welfare-dependent.



The plan was laid out in the May 1966 issue of *The Nation*, by the husband-wife professorial team of Richard Cloward and Frances Fox Piven. Entitled “The Weight of the Poor: A Strategy to End Poverty,” it has become known as the **Cloward-Piven Strategy to socialize America**. The subtitle for the article, now considered a socialist classic, states, “A mass strategy to recruit the poor onto welfare rolls would create a political crisis that could result in legislation that brings an end to poverty.” Cloward and Piven paid homage in their article to **Saul Alinsky** and his Marxist cadres at the Industrial Areas Foundation. They made plain that their objects included “outright redistribution of income” and national legislation for “a guaranteed annual income” for all. “It is our purpose,” they said, “to advance a strategy which affords the basis for a convergence of civil rights organizations, militant anti-poverty groups and the poor.” They proposed to build “mass influence” for socialist objectives by recruiting millions into permanent government dependency. Under an expanded welfare plan, “mass influence is cumulative because benefits are continuous,” they argued. “Once eligibility for basic food and rent grants is established, the drain on local resources persists indefinitely,” Cloward and Piven wrote.

With the entitlement mentality deeply and widely entrenched, it is easier to radicalize and mobilize large numbers of activists to push for bigger and more costly programs. This will break local and state budgets, causing a “political crisis” that forces the national government to take over.

The Nation, the oldest socialist publication in the United States, celebrated its 150th anniversary in July 2015 by reprinting the Cloward-Piven “Weight of the Poor” manifesto. In her updated introduction to the essay, Frances Fox Piven wrote that by the mid-1960s the “movement” had begun to focus on **“the new migrants.”** Whereas immigrants in earlier generations faced expulsion if they became a “public charge” during the five-year probationary period following their naturalization, migrants and immigrants today not only face no such consequences (even though the public charge provisions are still on the books), but are enticed and encouraged to go on the public dole.

The data show that the welfare bribe is very effective; immigrants who vote tend to reliably reward the Democrats, who promise them (and deliver to them) the most taxpayer dollars. Together with ACORN (Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now), Cloward and Piven helped pass the 1993 Motor Voter Act, which the organized Left has used to register millions of new immigrants and illegal aliens to vote. The radical couple stood behind President Bill Clinton as he signed the legislation at the White House.

Far-left Democratic strategists John Judis and Ruy Teixeira, coauthors of *The Emerging Democratic Majority*, have been jubilantly predicting for the past two decades that the immigration/migration waves of the post-1965 era are reshaping America in a way that will soon make it impossible for Republicans to get elected. Their gloating is echoed by many other political analysts, activists, and demographers on the left who see this demographic shift as the key to their voter replacement agenda. They see California, where “progressive” Democrats have attained virtually absolute control, as glorious proof of this demographic trend. And they hope to see it replicated across the entire country. In order for that to happen, they have to keep the immigration/migration spigot running full blast.

Immigration Lunacy & Hypocrisy

With the huge numbers of people who want to come to the United States — often from Third World countries — it should be evident to all Americans that to accommodate them under present conditions in this country would be simply impossible. First, the country is broke and can’t afford the welfare they’d receive. Second, we are at a point in time where “becoming an American” is no longer important to immigrants (it is more important to retain traditional culture and beliefs), and the country is already riven with dissent as each racial and cultural group tries to get its government-issued preferences. Third, the country is many hundreds of billions behind in infrastructure repair, without creating loads of new infrastructure necessary for the influx. And there’s more.

Yet Democrats — and globalists in general — are against borders because it’s “just not right.”

It should be said that this radical agenda would never have gotten as far as it has without the **constant betrayal by Republican Party leaders**. Presidents George H. W. Bush and George W. Bush, Senator John McCain, Senator Jeff Flake, Senator Mitch McConnell, Representatives John Boehner, Paul Ryan, Kevin McCarthy and Mike Johnson and other GOP leaders have repeatedly squished out or sold out on virtually every important immigration issue over the past half century. While ever playing to the Republican base with border security overtures, they have reliably caved in at the critical moments.

These days, when the topic of border security and immigration comes up, whether on social media or in conversation, one is likely to run into reverential citations from Alex Tabarrok, a professor of economics at George Mason University. Professor Tabarrok is the author of an influential 2015 article in *The Atlantic* magazine entitled “The Case for Getting Rid of Borders — Completely.” According to Tabarrok, “The argument for open borders is both economic and moral. All people should be free to move about the earth, uncaged by the arbitrary lines known as borders.” He is not the only so-called intellectual who is advancing this anarcho-libertarian argument; radical churchmen are pushing this theme from the pulpit, while teachers and professors drum it into their pupils. However, as Bruce Bawer of PJ Media points out, the assertion not only doesn’t pass the smell test — it stinks — it reflects complete hypocrisy by its proponents. Bawer notes that Professor Tabarrok, who is from Iran, “lives, as it happens, in one of these idyllic Virginia suburbs with wide curvy roads and well-kept lawns.” “It’s clear,” he notes “that Tabarrok enjoys having his own space. On the one hand, I suppose it’s easy for some people living in such neighborhoods to enthuse over open borders, because to them it’s a purely abstract idea.” Professor Tabarrok is not likely to be throwing his doors wide open to receive the migrants. He’s not going to get rid of his own borders. Ditto for George Soros, Facebook billionaire Mark Zuckerberg, Oprah, Cher, and the rest of the pampered, privileged Hollywood glitterati who love to virtue signal on this topic. But don’t expect them to invite any of the world’s teeming masses inside their own gated, guarded, gilded mansions. Do you think Whitmer will take \$500 to have some illegal immigrants living in her home?

However, for us regular folks of the great unwashed, who are not members of the Hollywood, Wall Street, or Silicon Valley elite, the consequences of a continued immigration/migration onslaught will prove to be horrendous — economically, politically, socially, morally, and spiritually. We need look no further than the current desperate plight of Europe to see what our fate will be should we fail to take resolute action now.

What can **YOU** do about this?

Get educated and get active in your community!

First step, use these guiding principles to form a team in your community:

We believe the American system of government, a constitutional Republic, is the finest ever developed by man.

We believe the traditional moral values of our Judeo-Christian heritage form the cornerstone of western civilization and that the family is the most vital unit of society.

We believe the free market system, competitive capitalism, and private enterprise afford the widest opportunity and highest standard of living for all.

We believe in the dignity of the individual. We welcome people from all ethnic racial and religious backgrounds judging others only by character and ability - as we wish to be judged ourselves - our common bond is a love for liberty and our rejection of totalitarianism under any label.

We believe that individual rights are endowed by our creator, not government; that the government's function should be limited to protecting our right to life, liberty, and property; and that individual rights are inseparably linked to individual responsibility.

Next, get organized in local chapters of the John Birch Society and start impacting your community ASAP. In this way we can still work as an organized team statewide without concern of interference from the political thugs that set out to lynch Kristina. Join here: [Join the John Birch Society : The John Birch Society \(jbs.org\)](http://www.jbs.org). You can also reach Michigan JBS field officer Rhonda Miller at: rmiller@jbs.org

The fight continues!

If you have any comments or questions for MRP, please contact us at: info@puregrassroots.org.

Yours in Service,



R. Morris Owens, J.D.
Director of Communications for Michigan Republican Policy

P.S. Please forward this e-mail on to all the other activists in your network.